UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/582,084	06/08/2006	Seiji Nakamura	2006_0857A	1794
	7590 02/09/200 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER		
2033 K. STREE	ET, NW	WONG, TITUS		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2184	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,084	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TITUS WONG	2184		

		TITUS WONG		2184	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>27 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN C	CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeter Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as fi replies: (1) an ame eal (with appeal fee	ling a Notice of andment, affidavi in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) b)	<u></u>	dvisory Action, or (2) ater than SIX MONTH (b). ONLY CHECK BO	IS from the mailinຸ	g date of the final rejectio	n.
have bunder set for may re	tions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exist CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the seth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later aduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corre shortened statutory pe than three months af	sponding amount e eriod for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wIDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 C	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or s w);	search (see NO	ΓE below);	
_	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: New Issues: such as "the different comma (in claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15, and 16). (See 37 Common converse conver	a <u>nd, which is the co</u> CFR 1.116 and 41.3	<u>mmand different</u> 3(a)).	from the block size se	
4. 5. 6.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	:		,	,
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
8. 🔲	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlie	ons under appea er presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<u>REQI</u>	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			•	
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Papel	r No(s). <u>12/18/20</u>	008	
	nry W.H. Tsai/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2184				

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 1/27/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argued that "Saeki and Asami fails to disclose that information on the block size is transmitted as data separately from the command." (Page 10, 3rd and 4th para. of Amendment) Examiner does not agree with Applicants. As set forth in the art rejections, Saeki'279 in view of Asami'100 discloses that information on the block size is transmitted as data separately from the command (size of the data, see para. [0033], lines 4-6). In claim 1, lines 12-14, it states that a response corresponding to the block size setting command is transmitted via the command/response line. Applicants are suggested to clarify the response corresponding to the block size setting and the information on the block size since the claims do not specify what exactly the responses are. Given the broadest interpretation of the claims, the information relating to the block size can be considered as the response corresponding to the block size setting command.